International Journal of Medical Science in Clinical Research and Review

Online ISSN: 2581-8945

Available Online at http://www.ijmscrr.in Volume 05|Issue 06 (November-December)|2022 Page: 1086-1088

Case Report

UTERINE SCAR- A NICHE FOR SURVIVAL

Authors:

¹Dr. Marina Dsouza, ²Dr. Joylene D Almeida Affiliations:

^{1,2}Father Muller Medical College and Hospital

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Marina Dsouza, Father Muller Medical College and Hospital

Article Received: 25-09-2022 Revised: 15-10-2022 Accepted: 04-11-2022

INTRODUCTION:

Caesarean Scar Ectopic pregnancy (CSP) refers to abnormal implantation of embryo within myometrium and the fibrous tissue of the previous scar following caesarean section. Due to the improvement in imaging modalities and their better diagnostic accuracy, CSP are detected earlier and can avert life threatening complications. Any delay in diagnosis or detection of CSP can compromise future fertility and also result in maternal mortality. In literature, expectant management has been reported. However, we have seen that the termination of CSP by laparotomy or hysterotomy, followed by repair of uterine scar is the best treatment option.

CASE REPORT:

Profile: A 30 year old, Gravida3, para 2, living 2 at 6 weeks +3 days with history of previous 2 LSCS. Presenting complaints: Bleeding per vagina since 1 day. **O/E: Pulse rate:** 100bpm, Blood pressure:110/70 mmHg, temp: 98.6 F. Abdomen examination: soft, tenderness in lower abdomen

USG abdomen and pelvis: Small well-defined sac like structure with echogenic rim measuring

4.7x 4.4x2.9mm in myometrium in the lower uterine segment- likely scar implantation/scar ectopic



Blood Investigations: Hb- 11.5g%, RBS-89mg/dl, serum TSH-1.07uIU/ml

Plan of action: To prevent impending scar rupture.

Potential complications: massive hemorrhage, uterine rupture and DIC Procedure- Emergency laparotomy Intra-op findings- 2x4cm lesion in lower part of uterus, Impending scar rupture with thinning of uterine myometrium.

Final procedure: evacuation of scar pregnancy with uterine suturing and repair Post-operative period was uneventful.



DISCUSSION:

The first case of CSP was reported in $1978^{(1)}$. The incidence of CS ectopic pregnancy varies from 1:1800 to 2216 pregnancies with rate of 0.15% in women with previous C section and 6.1% of all ectopic pregnancies^(2,3). Two types of scar ectopic pregnancies are identified. Type I is caused by implantation in the prior scar with progression towards the cervicoisthmic space or the uterine cavity. Type II is caused by deep implantation into scar defect with infiltrating growth into the uterine myometrium and to uterine serosal surface. Hence, early and accurate diagnosis is important for effective treatment to prevent these catastrophic complications⁽⁴⁾.

Mechanism: defects in the scar in the form of micro tubular tract which develops due to poor healing of the previous trauma caused by caesarean section.

Diagnosis: USG, Doppler imaging ,TVS The treatment approach depends on various factors like gestational age, hemodynamic stability, availability of endoscopic expertise, further fertility and feasibility of serial follow-up by serology and imaging. Medical management: systemic methotrexate , local embryocides or both Surgical management: hysteroscopy, laparoscopy, laparotomy and uterine artery embolization. In this case patient had underwent previous 2 LSCS. She presented with previous caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy impending scar rupture. Hence decision for emergency laparotomy was made. This case highlights successful management of a near miss case of CSP. The risk of

recurrent scar ectopic pregnancy is 3.2-5%^(5,6). Fortunately, the use of first trimester ultrasound imaging has led to a significant number of these pregnancies being diagnosed and managed early.

REFERENCES:

1.Larsen JV, Solomon MH. Pregnancy in uterine scar sacculus: an unusual cause of postabortal hemorrhage. S Afr Med J.1978; 53: 142-143.

2. Jurkovic D, Hillaby K, Woelfer B, et al. First trimester diagnosis and management of pregnancies implanted into the lower uterine Caesarean section scar. Ultrasound obstet Gynecol. 2003; 21: 220-227.

3. Seow KM, Huang LW, Lin YH, et al. Caesarean scar pregnancy : issues in management. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2004; 23: 247-253.

4. Timor-Tritsch IE, Monteagudo A, Santos R, et al. The diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of cesarean scar pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012; 207: 44-46.

5. Jayaram PM, Okunoye GO, Konje J. Caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy: diagnostic challenges and management options. Obstet Gynaecol.2017; 19(1): 13-20.

6. Ben Nagi J, Helmy S, Ofili- Yebovi D, Yazbek J,Sawyer E, Jurkovic D. Reproductive outcomes of women with a previous history of CSP Hum.